

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,712	12/31/2003	James T. Nielsen	149	1367
33109 7590 03/20/2007 CARDICA, INC. 900 SAGINAW DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSEN, MICHAEL T	
REDWOOD C.	ITY, CA 94063		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
e e			3734	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/20/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/750,712	NIELSEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		M. Thomas Andersen	3734				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period fo	• •						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 D	December 2006					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 December 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 30-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 30-33</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	÷					
12) 🗍 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
•	All b) Some * c) None of:		, , , , ,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		<b></b>	(				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Inforr	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/14/2006</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/750,712

Art Unit: 3734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Acknowledgement is made of the response filed 12/14/2006, to the non-final Office action dated 9/26/2006.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/14/2006 have been fully considered and they are persuasive. New rejections in view of new art follow:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barak, U.S. 4,930,674.

Claims 1, 30: Barak discloses a surgical method for manipulating a graft vessel relative to a target vessel comprising providing a staple holder having spaced apart arms 82, a graft affixer 85 projecting therefrom, and a plurality of discrete connectors held by the staple holder, and each arm independently holds at least one staple. A distal end of the graft vessel is placed between the spaced apart arms and the graft vessel is engaged with the graft affixer 85. See e.g. figure 3E.

Barak does not disclose forming a longitudinal incision in a wall of the graft vessel, but rather only in the target vessel. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form one or more longitudinal incisions in the graft

Application/Control Number: 10/750,712

Art Unit: 3734

vessel in order to more easily evert the ends of the graft vessel onto the graft affixer 85. Even if the longitudinal incision was only slight, it would aid in attaching the graft vessel to the graft affixer because the distal end would become slightly more dilated.

Claim 2: the graft vessel is pushed down onto the graft affixer 85. Fig. 3B.

Claim 3: The engaging is performed at a heel of the graft vessel. Id.

Claim 4: Engaging includes everting the end of the graft vessel. Id.

Claims 5-6, 10: Barak does not disclose penetrating a wall of the graft vessel at least partially with the graft affixer. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to penetrate the end of the graft vessel with some form of a spike or hook member in order to better hold the graft vessel thereon.

Claim 7: Engaging includes clipping a portion of the graft vessel with the graft affixer.

Id.

Claims 8-9: The anvil ports can be considered indicia that the graft vessel is placed over; and the graft vessel is angled relative to the staple holder. Fig 3C.

Claim 11: The graft vessel is then affixed to target vessel. Fig. 3D.

Claims 12-13: Affixing is performed by ejecting a plurality of staples through the flap of the graft vessel and into the wall of the target vessel.

Claim 31: The arms are fixed relative to one another when the graft is placed on and engaged by the graft affixer. Fig. 6A.

Claim 32: The graft affixer remains connected to the staple holder after affixing the graft vessel to the target vessel. Id.

Claim 33: The graft affixer is detachable from the staple holder. Cf. Figs. 1 & 3C.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Thomas Andersen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8024. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-4:30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,712

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Thomas Andersen

March 6, 2007

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5